

- (f) how many were confirmed according to the rules ;
- (g) how many have not yet been confirmed ;
- (h) are there any reasons for not confirming them ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—17. (a) 4,413.

(b) 1,042.

(c) 3,371.

(d) Yes.

(e) One year.

(f) 799.

(g) 2,278.

(h) Yes.

MR. T. N. MUDLAGIRI GOWDA (Tumkur Taluk).—

Q.—21. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) from what year was water supply from the Maidala tank taken to Tumkur Town ;

(b) the procedure and arrangements followed with regard to the tank and its *atchkatdars* when the water supply from this tank was given to Tumkur Town ;

(c) whether or not there is any arrangement to reserve such quantity of water as is sufficient to irrigate lands below the tank and supply the remaining quantity to Tumkur Town ; or whether excess water remaining after reservation of such quantity of water required to meet the demand of the Tumkur Town is allowed for irrigation purposes ;

(d) whether they are aware, either by their own experience or by way of representations made by the raiyats, of the fact that raiyats are subjected to much hardship by the procedure followed by Government ;

(e) whether they have reduced the rate of assessment after the water supply was taken to Tumkur Town ;

(f) whether any survey has been made to examine if there are any other water sources (feeders) to the tank with a view to stock additional quantity of water in the tank ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—21. (a) From December 1928.

(b) Out of the storage capacity of 1,000 units of the tank the quantity of 300 units below the sill of the high level irrigation sluice were to be reserved for water supply to Tumkur Town and the balance issued for the irrigation of lands below the tank.

(c) All water above the sill of the high level irrigation sluice is for irrigation purposes while that below the level is for water supply purposes only.

(d) Hardship is experienced during the years when the tank does not fill.

(e) The answer is in the negative.

(f) The question of improving the distributory channels below the tank to economise the use of water for irrigation is under consideration.

MESSRS. K. P. CHENNABASAVIAH (Sira Taluk) and CHOWDRI ABDUL RAZAK (Minorities).—

Q.—23. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the particulars of cases of irrigation works in the Tumkur

District during the past 30 years in which records have been completed and *mutchalikas* obtained or in which the amount of contributions have been either collected or are in the stage of collection ;

(b) the number of works not taken up for execution out of the above ;

(c) the reason why the tank work of Keriyaalhalli *majre* of Nadur, Sira Taluk, was not taken up though contribution amount was recovered long back ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—23. (a) *Vide* statement attached 'A' (Appendix).

(b) 14.

(c) The tank was restored during 1923.

MR. T. TAREGOWDA (Sira Taluk).—

Q.—24. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is true that the Dewan was pleased to promise during the Budget Session of the Assembly of 1937 that a tank would be constructed by putting up a dam across the Karethorehalla flowing in Sira Taluk ;

(b) whether it is true that this item of tank work, after the completion of the above session of the Assembly was included as Serial No. 14 in the list of such promises published by Government and sent to the Superintending Engineer, Mysore, for the preparation of an estimate for this project ;

(c) whether any action has been taken till now by the Public Works Department regarding this project ;

(d) whether there was any correspondence in the matter between the member of the Representative Assembly of Sira Taluk, the Chief Engineer of Mysore, the Superintending Engineer of Mysore Circle, and the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—24. (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The answer is in the affirmative.

(c) A project amounting to Rs. 52,550 has been prepared.

(d) Yes. A letter was addressed to the Chief Engineer during July 1937.

MR. K. VENKATARAMIAH (Arkalgud Taluk) and all the other members of Hassan District.—

Q.—30. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the area of Government *banjar* lands at the end of 1936-37 under dry, wet and garden (the total area and assessment *babwar* to be furnished talukwar) ;

(b) the number of *darkhast* applications received for these lands (talukwar information regarding extent and *babwar* to be furnished) ;

(c) the number of such *darkhasts* rejected (to be furnished talukwar and *babwar*) ?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—30. (a)—

Dry.		Wet.		Garden.	
A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	G.
857,308	25½	118,478	23½	22,127	11½

Vide statement attached.